

Integrability Conditions for the Fourier Transform

YORAM SAGHER

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot; and University of Minnesota, Minneapolis

Submitted by R. P. Boas, Jr.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we prove a simple integrability condition for Fourier transforms of functions in $L(p, q)$ and for functions in $L_{|t|^{1/p-1/q}}^q$. With the help of this condition we prove a strong version of a conjecture of Boas [1] concerning integrability of Fourier transforms of monotone functions, and of functions whose Fourier transforms are monotone.

Our condition has a natural analog for periodic functions, which in turn provides a new proof for a classical theorem of Hardy and Littlewood on functions whose Fourier coefficients are monotone.

We will review in brief the definitions of the spaces used: If (X, Σ, μ) is a σ -finite measure space, define:

$$f_*(y) = \mu\{x \mid |f(x)| > y\}, f^*(t) = \inf\{y \mid f_*(y) \leq t\}.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$ define:

$$\|f\|_{p,q}^* = \left(\int_0^\infty f^*(t)^q t^{q/p} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q},$$

while for $0 < p \leq \infty$, $q = \infty$

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty}^* = \sup_{0 < t} t^{1/p} f^*(t).$$

Define $L(p, q) = \{f \mid \|f\|_{p,q}^* < \infty\}$. Given $0 \leq \omega(x)$, measurable, we define $L_\omega^p = \{f \mid \omega f \in L^p\}$, with the obvious norm (we will have only $p \geq 1$). Denote for typographical convenience:

$$|t|^{1/p-1/q} = t(p, q).$$

Denote by E the class of nonnegative, even functions on R , monotone decreasing to 0 on R^+ . Denote by \mathcal{O} the class of functions f such that $\pm \text{sign } x \cdot f(x) \in E$. Boas' conjecture is: For $f \in E$ (or $f \in \mathcal{O}$) $f \in L_{t(p,q)}^q$ iff

$\hat{f} \in L_{t(p', q)}^q$, where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, $1 < p < \infty$. Given f on R , denote by $m(f)$ its least majorant in E :

$$m(f)(x) = \sup\{|f(t)| \mid |t| \geq |x|\}.$$

Now $f \in L(p, q)$ iff $f^* \in L_{t(p, q)}^q$ so that sometime $L(p, q) \supset L_{t(p, q)}^q$, and sometime $L(p, q) \subset L_{t(p, q)}^q$, depending on whether $p \leq q$ or $q \leq p$. The spaces coincide of course for $f \in E$ or $f \in \mathcal{O}$. We shall show: For $f \in E$ or $f \in \mathcal{O}$,

$$f \in L_{t(p, q)}^q \Rightarrow m(\hat{f}) \in L_{t(p', q)}^q \Rightarrow \hat{f} \in L_{t(p', q)}^q \Rightarrow f \in L_{t(p, q)}^q;$$

as well as,

$$f \in L(p, q) \Rightarrow m(\hat{f}) \in L(p', q) \Rightarrow \hat{f} \in L(p', q) \Rightarrow f \in L(p, q).$$

We shall need several results from interpolation theory. We give a short outline of the necessary notions, not in their most general form, and refer the reader to [2-4] for details.

Let A_0, A_1 be quasi-normed commutative semigroups (i.e., $+: A_i \times A_i \rightarrow A_i$ is associative, commutative and A_i possesses a 0. Further, $|\cdot|_i: A_i \rightarrow R^+$ satisfies $|a|_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0$ and $|a_0 + a_1|_i \leq |a_0|_i + |a_1|_i$). Assume $A_i \subset \mathcal{O}$, \mathcal{O} a topological vector space and $|a_n|_i \rightarrow 0$ implies $a_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{O} . For $0 < \theta < 1$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ we construct new semigroups $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}$. The construction has the following property: If $B_0, B_1 \subset \mathcal{B}$ is second interpolation pair, and if $T: A_i \rightarrow B_i$ is bounded and satisfies a mild linearity condition (satisfied by all the operators that will occur later). Then $T: (A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{\theta, q}$ is bounded. The construction also gives:

(1) $(L(p_0, q_0), L(p_1, q_1))_{\theta, q} = L(p, q)$ where $1/p = ((1 - \theta)/p_0) + (\theta/p_1)$; $0 < q \leq \infty$ arbitrary.

(2) $(L_{\omega_0}^p, L_{\omega_1}^p)_{\theta, p} = L_{\omega_0^{1-\theta}\omega_1^\theta}^p$ (Stein-Weiss).

(3) E, \mathcal{O} have the Marcinkiewicz property in the $L(p, q)$ scale:

$$(E \cap L(p_0, q_0), E \cap L(p_1, q_1))_{\theta, q} = E \cap (L(p_0, q_0), L(p_1, q_1))_{\theta, q},$$

and similarly for \mathcal{O} (see [3]).

Finally, a notation convention: All integrals without limits of integration are on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

II. $L(p, q)$ CONDITIONS

Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of $C^\infty(R)$ functions all of whose derivatives decrease rapidly to 0 at $\pm\infty$. $f \in L(p, q)$ implies $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}'$, the space of tempered distribu-

tions. Therefore, we can consider, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, the following function of t :

$$(\varphi_t, \hat{f}) = \phi(t),$$

where $\varphi_t(u) = (1/t) \varphi(u/t)$, and \hat{f} acts on the u variable.

THEOREM 2.1. $\|m(\phi)\|_{p',q}^* \leq C(p, \varphi) \|f\|_{p,q}^*$, where $1 < p < \infty$; $0 < q \leq \infty$.

Proof. $(\varphi_t, \hat{f}) = \text{sign } t (\hat{\phi}(tu), f(u)) = (\int f(u) \hat{\phi}(tu) du) \text{sign } t$. Therefore,

$$|(\varphi_t, \hat{f})| \leq \|f\|_p \left(\int |\hat{\phi}(tu)|^{p'} du \right)^{1/p'} = \|f\|_p \|\hat{\phi}\|_{p'} \cdot |t|^{-1/p'},$$

so that $m(\phi)(t) \leq \|f\|_p \|\hat{\phi}\|_{p'} |t|^{-1/p'}$. Consider the sublinear operator $T: f \rightarrow m(\phi)$. We have shown, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$T: L(p, p) \rightarrow L(p', \infty).$$

Interpolating, we get

$$T: L(p, q) \rightarrow L(p', q).$$

THEOREM 2.2. $f \in L(p, q)$ and $\hat{f} \in E$, then $\hat{f} \in L(p', q)$ $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$.

Proof. Take $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ nonnegative, with support in $[-1, 1]$. We then have:

$$\text{sign } t (\varphi_t, \hat{f}) = \frac{1}{|t|} \int \varphi \left(\frac{u}{t} \right) f(u) du \geq \hat{f}(t) \frac{1}{|t|} \int \varphi \left(\frac{u}{t} \right) du = \hat{f}(t) \cdot \|\varphi\|_1.$$

Therefore,

$$0 \leq \hat{f} \leq \frac{1}{\|\varphi\|} \phi, \quad \text{and so} \quad \|\hat{f}\|_{p',q}^* \leq \frac{1}{\|\varphi\|} \|\phi\|_{p',q}^* \leq C(p) \|f\|_{p,q}^*.$$

However, note that we have used in the proof much less than $\hat{f} \in E$. In fact, all we used was

$$0 \leq \hat{f}(t) \leq \frac{C}{\|\varphi\|_1} \frac{1}{|t|} \int f(u) \varphi \left(\frac{u}{t} \right) du,$$

for a nonnegative $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$. Thus, $\hat{f}(t)$ may vanish on stretches, and still the conclusion may hold.

THEOREM 2.3. $f \in L(p, q) \cap E$, then

$$\|m(\hat{f})\|_{p',q}^* \leq C_p \|f\|_{p,q}^*, \quad 1 < p < \infty; \quad 0 < q \leq \infty.$$

Proof. Assume first $f \in L(1, 1) \cap E$,

$$\hat{f}(t) = \int f(x) e^{ixt} dx = -\frac{1}{it} \int e^{ixt} df(t).$$

Therefore, $|\hat{f}(t)| \leq 2f(0)/|t| = 2|f|_{\infty}/|t|$, so that also $m(\hat{f}) \leq 2|f|_{\infty}/t$. $L(1, 1) \cap E$ is dense in $L(\infty, \infty) \cap E$, so that we have, for $Tf = m(\hat{f})$,

$$T: L(\infty, \infty) \cap E \rightarrow L(1, \infty),$$

since also

$$T: L(1, 1) \rightarrow L(\infty, \infty),$$

and since E has the Marcinkiewicz property in the $L(p, q)$ scale,

$$T: L(p, q) \cap E \rightarrow L(p', q).$$

Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 prove for $f \in E$:

$$f \in L(p, q) \Rightarrow m(\hat{f}) \in L(p', q) \Rightarrow \hat{f} \in L(p', q) \Rightarrow f \in L(p, q).$$

The proofs for $f \in \mathcal{C}$ are the same. The analog of Theorem 2.2, for periodic functions is easier to state for functions with period π .

THEOREM 2.4. $f \in L(p, q)$. Denote:

$$\sigma_n = (1/n)(\hat{f}(1) + \cdots + \hat{f}(n)).$$

Then $|\{m(\sigma_n)\}|_{p', q} \leq C_p |f|_{p, q} \cdot 1 < p < \infty; 0 < q \leq \infty$.

Proof. Do the sine-transform:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n &= \int_0^\pi f(t) \frac{\cos t/2 - \cos(n + \frac{1}{2})t}{2n \sin t/2} dt, \\ |\sigma_n| &\leq |f|_p \left(\int_0^\pi \left| \frac{\cos t/2 - \cos(n + \frac{1}{2})t}{2n \sin t/2} \right|^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Since in $(0, \pi)$ $\sin t/2 \geq (1/\pi)t$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_0^\pi \left| \frac{\cos t/2 - \cos(n + \frac{1}{2})t}{2n \sin t/2} \right|^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\int_0^\pi \left| \frac{1 - \cos nt}{nt} + \frac{1}{n} \right|^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi^2}{2n} + \frac{\pi}{2} n^{-1/p'} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1 - \cos t}{t} \right)^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \leq C_p n^{-1/p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $m(\sigma_n) \leq \|f\|_p C_p n^{-1/p'}$. $Tf = m(\sigma_n)$ satisfies

$$T: L(p, q) \rightarrow l(p', \infty).$$

Interpolating, we get

$$T: (p, q) \rightarrow l(p', q), \quad 1 < p < \infty; \quad 0 < q \leq \infty,$$

and the theorem is proved.

The proof of the Hardy-Littlewood theorem using Theorem 2.4, is now straightforward.

III. WEIGHTED L^q CONDITIONS

THEOREM 3.1. *If $f \in L_{t(p,q)}^q$, $1 < p < \infty$, we have $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, and define as before for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, $\phi(t) = (\varphi_t, f)$. We have:*

$$\|m(\phi)\|_{p',q}^* \leq C(p, \varphi) \|f\|_{L_{t(p,q)}^p}.$$

Proof. As before, $\text{sign } t\phi(t) = \int \hat{\phi}(ut) f(u) du$, so that

$$|\phi(t)| \leq \|f\|_{L_{u(p,q)}^q} \|\hat{\phi}\|_{L_{v(p',q')}^{q'}} |t|^{-1/p'}.$$

Therefore, $T: f \rightarrow m(\phi)$ satisfies

$$T: L_{u(p,q)}^q \rightarrow L(p', \infty).$$

Interpolating between different values of p for fixed q , and using the Stein-Weiss theorem for interpolation with change of measure, we get

$$T: L_{u(p,q)}^q \rightarrow L(p', q).$$

The theorem is proved.

THEOREM 3.2. *$f \in L_{t(p,q)}^q$, $\hat{f} \in E$ then $\hat{f} \in L_{t(p',q)}^q$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.*

Proof. Same as of Theorem 2.2.

Since for $f \in E$, $f \in L_{t(p,q)}^q \Rightarrow f \in L(p, q)$. We have: If $f \in E$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$:

$$f \in L_{t(p,q)}^q \Rightarrow m(\hat{f}) \in L_{t(p',q)}^q \Rightarrow \hat{f} \in L_{t(p',q)}^q \Rightarrow \hat{f} \in L_{t(p,q)}^q.$$

The proof of the case $f \in \mathcal{O}$ is similar and we have proved the strong version of Boas' conjecture. As in II, we can prove the periodic analog of 3.1. We leave this to the reader.

REFERENCES

1. R. P. BOAS JR., The integrability class of the sine transform of a monotonic function, *Studia Math.* **44** (1972), 365–369.
2. J. PEETRE AND G. SPARR, Interpolation of normed abelian groups, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **42** (1972), 217–262.
3. Y. Sagher, An application of interpolation theory to Fourier series, *Studia Math.* **41** (1972), 169–181.
4. Y. SAGHER, Some remarks on interpolation of operators and Fourier coefficients, *Studia Math.* **44** (1972), 239–252.